arXiv:1610.09727v2 [math.AP] 11 Dec 2016

Asymptotic expansions of the Helmholtz equation solutions using approximations of the Dirichlet to Neumann operator

Souaad Lazergui*, Yassine Boubendir†

December 13, 2016

Abstract

This paper is concerned with the asymptotic expansions of the amplitude of the solution of the Helmholtz equation. The original expansions were obtained using a pseudo-differential decomposition of the Dirichlet to Neumann operator. This work uses first and second order approximations of this operator to derive new asymptotic expressions of the normal derivative of the total field. The resulting expansions can be used to appropriately choose the ansatz in the design of high-frequency numerical solvers, such as those based on integral equations, in order to produce more accurate approximation of the solutions around the shadow and deep shadow regions than the ones based on the usual ansatz.

1 Introduction

Studying the Helmholtz equation at the high-frequency regime is fundamental in both the theoretical understanding of the corresponding solutions and the derivation of appropriate numerical schemes. The well-know asymptotic expansions developed by Melrose and Taylor [32] have significantly contributed in this matter and were the key in the design of several high-frequency integral equation methods. Indeed, integral equation methods are very efficient and widely used in the solution of acoustic scattering problems (see e.g. [1, 2] and the references therein). However, the resulting linear systems are dense, ill-conditioned and with large size in particular when the frequency increases. Several effective strategies have been proposed to overcome these difficulties [1, 2, 3, 5, 4, 9, 7, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 38, 18, 19, 16]. Despite this significant progress, integral formulations are limited at higher frequencies since the numerical resolution of field oscillations can easily lead to impractical computational times. This is why hybrid numerical methods based on a combination of integral equations and asymptotic methods have found an increasing interest for the solution of high-frequency scattering problems. Indeed, the methodologies developed in this connection that specifically concern scattering from a smooth convex obstacle were first introduced in [30, 31]. Several other works followed these [21, 24, 25, 26, 23, 28, 29, 33, 17] and mainly consist of improving and analyzing this kind of numerical algorithms in single and multiple scattering configurations. All these methods are mainly based on construction of an appropriate ansatz for the solution of integral equations in the form of a highly oscillatory function of known phase modulated by an unknown slowly varying envelope, which is expected to generate linear systems quasi-independent of the frequency.

The high-frequency integral equation methods mentioned above use the asymptotic expansions developed in the well-known paper by Melrose and Taylor [32] in the context of convex obstacles. From

*University of Mostaghanem, Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, B.P. 188, 27000, Algeria. lazergui.souad@gmail.com

†New Jersey Institute of Technology, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University Heights, Newark NJ 07102, USA. boubendi@njit.edu



Figure 1: Comparison of the exact solution of the problem (5) with a Kirchhoff type approximation (11) and a first order Bayliss-Turkel type approximation (12) for the unit circle illuminated by a plane wave incidence with $k = 150$.

these expansions, an ansatz is derived and incorporated into integral equations to eliminate the highly oscillatory part of the unknown which usually corresponds to the physical density, normal derivative of the total field, computed on the surface of the obstacles. This surface is decomposed into three regions, the illuminated and shadows regions in addition to the deep shadow one. Each region is then numerically treated differently and the ansatz is set in general on the illuminated one. Although carefully designed, the aforementioned high-frequency integral equation formulations result in ill-conditioned matrices that limit the numerical accuracy of the approximate solutions. One explanation lies in the fact that the rapidly decaying behavior of the unknown density in the deep shadow regions is not incorporated into the approximation spaces as it is not intrinsic to the chosen ansatz. Generally speaking, it is not clear how to extract all the information needed from the leading term in the expansion given in [32], which restricts the construction of the ansatz.

In this paper, we derive new expansions of the normal derivative of the total field using approximations of the Dirichlet to Neumann (DtN) operator. The original expansions employed a pseudo-differential decomposition of the DtN operator, and the related analysis focuses on the behavior of this field around the shadow boundary which leads to a corrected formula for the Kirchhoff approximation around this region [32]. However, it has been shown that these expansions are valid in the entire surface of the obstacles [23, 24]. Here, we choose first and second order approximations of the DtN operator of the Bayliss-Turkel type [34]. To obtain these new expansions, we follow a similar procedure to the one given in [32]. Briefly, it consists of first finding the kernel of a certain operator, which allows the computation of its amplitude, and then use the stationary phase method to get the final expansions around the shadow boundary. In this case, we can use some of the results derived by Melrose and Taylor [32] in our analysis. The resulting expansions can then be used to appropriately build an ansatz that contains the expected behavior of the solution in the three regions, namely, the illuminated and deep shadow regions in addition to the shadow boundaries. This provides an improvement over the usual ansatz that behaves like Kirchhoff approximations, meaning that the corresponding solutions are accurate mostly in the illuminated regions.

This paper is organized as follows. After reviewing the functional setting needed for this analysis, we state the problem and explain our choice, regarding the approximation of the DtN operator, in the second section. The two following sections are, respectively, devoted to the derivation of asymptotic expansions in the context of first and second order approximations of the DtN operator. The last section is reserved

for some conclusions.

In this work, we will use the following functional spaces (for more details, see for instance [39, 41]). Let U be an open bounded set of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

- $D(U)$: space of smooth test functions with compact support, from U to $\mathbb{R}^n$.
- $D'(U)$: space of distributions.
- $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$: Schwartz space or space of rapidly decreasing functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$.
- $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$: the space of tempered distributions, which is the dual space of $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- $\mathcal{E}'$: space of compactly supported distributions.
- Ψ^m: space of pseudo-differential operators of order m.
- I^m: space of Fourier integral operators of order m.

We will also use symbols of Hörmander's classes [20, 22], we say $p(x,\xi) \in S^m_{\rho,\delta}$ if and only if

$$|D_x^\beta D_\xi^\alpha p(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}(1+|\xi|)^{m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}. \tag{1}$$

In particular we say $p(x,\xi) \in S^m$ if $p(x,\xi) \in S^m_{1,0}$. Note that each $p(x,\xi)$ admits an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$p(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{j\geq 0} p_j(x,\xi) \tag{2}$$

for $|\xi|$ large where the $p_j(x,\xi)$ are homogeneous functions of degree $m-j$ in ξ. Finally, if $p(x,\xi) \in S^m$, we say $p(x,D) \in \Psi^m$, where D is the corresponding pseudo-differential operator.

2 Model problem

Consider a convex obstacle $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $B = \partial K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a hypersurface and let Ω be the exterior domain given by $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\backslash K$. We are interested in solutions of the following wave equation

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - \Delta)u(x,t) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x,t) = -\delta(t - x\cdot\omega) = -u^i(x,t) \quad \text{on } B \times \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where u^i is the incident wave, ω denotes the incidence direction, δ is the Dirac function, and $u \in D'(B\times\mathbb{R})$ [32]. Defining the function w by

$$w(x,k) = \int e^{ikt} u(x,t)dt, \tag{4}$$

leads to the well-posed problem [40]

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta + k^2)w(x,k) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \\ w(x,k) = -e^{ikx\cdot\omega} \quad \text{on } B \times \mathbb{R}, \\ w(x,k) = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-n/2}) \text{ and } (\partial_{|x|} - ik)w(x,k) = o(|x|^{-n/2}) \text{ for } |x| \to \infty. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

For each $x \in \partial K = B$, $\mathbf{n}$ denotes the outgoing normal vector. In what follows, we use the notation $w^t = w^s + w^i$ indicating the total field, where w^s is the scattered field solution of the problem (5) and $w^i = e^{ikx\cdot\omega}$. In addition, we write the normal derivative of the total field as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^t &= \partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^s + \partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^i \\ &= a_Q(x,k)e^{ikx\cdot\omega}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

that we express with respect to the problem (3), and using the same notation given in [32], by the operator

$$Q = (\mathrm{DtN} + (\omega \cdot \mathbf{n})\partial_t))\, F : \ \mathcal{E}'(B \times \mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{D}'(B \times \mathbb{R}), \tag{7}$$

where $\mathrm{DtN} \in \Psi^1$ [32] stands for the Dirichlet to Neumann operator

$$\mathrm{DtN} : \mathcal{E}'(B \times \mathbb{R}) \to D'(B \times \mathbb{R}), \ \mathrm{DtN}u^i = -\partial_{\mathbf{n}} u|_{B\times\mathbb{R}}, \tag{8}$$

and F is a Fourier integral operator to be defined later. Using a pseudo-differential decomposition of this operator DtN, Melrose and Taylor derived the well-known expansion

$$\partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^t \sim \sum_{p,l=0}^{\infty} k^{2/3-p-2l/3} a_{p,l}(\omega, x) \Psi^{(l)}(k^{1/3} Z(\omega, x)) e^{ikx\cdot\omega}, \tag{9}$$

where $\Psi(\tau) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \tau^{1-3j}$ as $\tau \to \infty$, and it is rapidly decreasing in the sense of Schwartz as $\tau \to -\infty$. The real-valued function Z is positive on the illuminated region, negative on the shadow region and vanishes precisely to first order on the shadow boundary [32]. Here, $a_{p,l}$ result from the application of the stationary phase method and the expansion of the symbol of the operator Q [32].

Remark 1 *As is mentioned in [32], the first term of the expansion* (9) *represents the classical Kirchhoff approximations. Indeed, if* $\Psi(k^{1/3}Z(\omega, x))$ *is replaced by the leading term in its asymptotic expansion*

$$\Psi(\tau) \simeq -2i\tau, \ \textit{for}\ \tau \to +\infty, \tag{10}$$

and taking $a_{00}(\omega, x) = (\mathbf{n} \cdot \omega)/Z(\omega, x)$ *in the illiminated region* $Z(\omega, x) > 0$ $(\mathbf{n} \cdot \omega < 0)$*, we obtain*

$$\partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^t \simeq k^{2/3} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \omega}{Z(\omega, x)} (-2ik^{1/3} Z(\omega, x)) e^{ikx\cdot\omega} = 2ik\mathbf{n} \cdot \omega e^{ikx\cdot\omega}. \tag{11}$$

Generally speaking, the aforementioned high-frequency integral equation methods, based on an ansatz of the form $\partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^t = \eta(x) e^{ikx\cdot\omega}$, although delivering better accuracy than the Kirchhoff approach in the illuminated region, they were designed replicating its behavior, and this explains why the decay in the deep shadow region is not observed but somehow forced. This is partly due to the fact that an explicit form of the leading term in the asymptotic expansion (9) is not available. We propose in this work to use approximations of the DtN map to derive new asymptotic expressions of $\partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^t$. This can allow construction of a new ansatz in order to improve the behavior and the accuracy of the solution in the shadow and the deep shadow regions. We use first and second order approximations of the DtN operator given by Bayliss-Turkel [34]

$$\partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^s(x, k) = -ikw^i(x, k) + \frac{c(x)}{2} w^i(x, k), \tag{12}$$

$$\partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^s(x, k) = -ikw^i(x, k) + \frac{c(x)}{2} w^i(x, k) - \frac{c(x)^2}{8(c(x) - ik)} w^i(x, k) - \frac{1}{2(c(x) - ik)} \partial_x^2 w^i(x, k), \tag{13}$$

where $c(x)$ represents the curvature. Although these conditions are approximations of the DtN operator, we use the sign "=" for the sake of the presentation.

The motivation behind this choice of conditions (12) and (13) is summarized in the next example. Suppose that Ω is a circle, in this case the exact solution of problem (5) is given by Bessel functions. We then compute the quantity

$$\partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^t = \partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^s + \partial_{\mathbf{n}} e^{ikx\cdot\omega} \tag{14}$$

using, (1) the exact solution, (2) the first order approximation (12) approximating $\partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^s$, and (3) the approximations (11) which corresponds exactly to the Kirchhoff approximation in the illuminated region.

The resulting calculations are exhibited in Figure 1. We can observe that using the formula (11) produces a good approximation in the illuminated region, but starts to degrade in the shadow boundaries to completely deteriorate in the deep shadow region in contrast with the solution, based on condition (12), where we can observe a satisfactory approximation in those regions. This explains why in the Kirchhoff approximation the solution is taken as zero in the shadow and deep shadow regions. However, imposing this kind of constraints leads to inaccurate solutions in the high frequency integral formulations.

Remark 2 *The condition* (12) *was derived in the case of two and three dimensions while the condition* (13) *was derived only in the two dimensional case [27]. Our computations do not distinguish between these two cases. However, at the end of this analysis, we give an example of an expansion derived for a three dimensional second order approximation of the DtN operator.*

3 Expansion of the amplitude using the first order approximation

The results produced in this paper are based on some of the results derived in the paper [32]. Our analysis starts by determining the kernel associated with the operator (7) in the case where the DtN operator is approximated by (12). For the sake of the presentation, we use the notation $\mathcal{C}(x) = c(x)/2$.

Theorem 3 *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a strictly convex bounded obstacle such that $\partial K = B$, where B is C^∞ hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Suppose that Ω is an open set of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}/K$, and let w^s be a solution of (5). Using the approximation (12), the operator Q* (7) *can be written as*

$$Q = ((1 - \mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)\partial_t + \mathcal{C}(x))F : \ \mathcal{E}'(B\times\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{D}'(B\times\mathbb{R}), \tag{15}$$

where $\kappa_Q(x,t)$ is its kernel given by

$$\kappa_Q(x,t) = ((1 - \mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)\partial_t + \mathcal{C}(x))\kappa_F(x,t), \tag{16}$$

and $\kappa_F(x,t) = \delta(t - \omega\cdot x)$ is the kernel of the Fourier integral operator F.

Proof. Using the approximation (12) and the definition of the total field, we can write

$$\partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^t(x,k) = (-ik(1 - \mathbf{n}\cdot\omega) + \mathcal{C}(x))e^{ikx\cdot\omega}. \tag{17}$$

To obtain the kernel of the operator Q, we compute the Fourier transform with respect to the variable t. Let $\varphi_x(k) = \varphi(x,k) \in S(\mathbb{R})$, we have then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\widehat{\partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^t}(x,k), \varphi(x,k)\rangle_{S',S} &= \left\langle \partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^t(x,k), \widehat{\varphi}(x,k)\right\rangle_{S',S} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^t(x,k)\widehat{\varphi}(x,k)dk \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^t(x,k)\varphi(x,t)e^{-ikt}dkdt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \left[-ik(1 - \mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)e^{ikx\cdot\omega} + \mathcal{C}(x)e^{ikx\cdot\omega}\right]\varphi(x,t)e^{-ikt}dkdt \\
&= \langle((\partial_t(1 - \mathbf{n}\cdot\omega) + \mathcal{C}(x))\delta(t - \omega\cdot x), \varphi(x,t)\rangle_{S',S} \\
&= \langle\kappa_Q(x,t), \varphi(x,t)\rangle_{S',S}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $\langle \widehat{ikf(k)}, \varphi\rangle_{S',S} = \langle -\partial_t \widehat{f}(t), \varphi\rangle_{S',S}$ and $\langle \widehat{e^{ik\omega\cdot x}}, \varphi\rangle_{S',S} = \langle \delta(t-\omega\cdot x), \varphi\rangle_{S',S}$. Therefore

$$\kappa_Q(x,t) = ((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)\partial_t + \mathcal{C}(x))\kappa_F(x,k), \tag{19}$$

and $Q = ((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)\partial_t + \mathcal{C}(x))F$. ■

In the following, we use the same decomposition of the operator F given in [32] (equation (5.9)), that is,

$$F = J(E_1\mathbb{A}_i + E_2\mathbb{A}_i')K, \tag{20}$$

with $E_1 \in \Psi^{-n/2+1/6}$, $E_2 \in \Psi^{-n/2-1/6}$, and J and K are elliptic Fourier integral operators of order 0. The operators $\mathbb{A}_i$ and $\mathbb{A}_i'$ are Fourier Airy integral operators and are defined by

$$\mathbb{A}_i^{(l)}u(x,t) = \int e^{itk+ix\xi} A_i^{(l)}(\xi_1 k^{-1/3})\widehat{u}(\xi,k)d\xi dk, \tag{21}$$

$$\widehat{(\mathbb{A}_i u)}(\xi,k) = A_i(k^{-1/3}\xi_1)\widehat{u}(\xi,k), \tag{22}$$

$$\widehat{(\mathbb{A}_i' u)}(\xi,k) = A_i'(k^{-1/3}\xi_1)\widehat{u}(\xi,k), \tag{23}$$

where $\xi = (\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$, l is an integer indicating the order of the derivative, A_i is the Airy function

$$A_i(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i(\frac{t^3}{3}+st)}dt, \tag{24}$$

with

$$A_\pm(s) = Ai(e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}s), \tag{25}$$

and A_i' is its derivative. Finally, we define the operator $\mathbb{A}^{-1}$ [32] as follows

$$\widehat{(\mathbb{A}^{-1}u)}(\xi,k) = \frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1)\widehat{u}(\xi,k). \tag{26}$$

The next theorem is concerned with the computation of the amplitude of the operator (15).

Theorem 4 *Let K and J be elliptic Fourier integral operators of order 0. Then the operator Q and its kernel κ_Q can be respectively written as*

$$Q = (1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)J\mathbb{A}^{-1}P_1K + \mathcal{C}(x)J\mathbb{A}^{-1}P_2K, \tag{27}$$

$$\kappa_Q(x,t) = \int e^{i\psi_1(x,\xi,k)-ikt}\left((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)a(x,\xi,k) + \mathcal{C}(x)b(x,\xi,k)\right)\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1)d\xi dk, \tag{28}$$

such that $P_1 \in \Psi^{-n/2+5/6}$ with a symbol p_1, $P_2 \in \Psi^{-n/2-1/6}$ with a symbol p_2, $a(x,\xi,k) = p_1(x,\xi,k)a_J(x,\xi,k)$, $b(x,\xi,k) = p_2(x,\xi,k)a_J(x,\xi,k)$, with $a_J \in S^0_{(0,1)}$, and $\mathbb{A}^{-1}$ is a pseudo-differential operator defined by (26) *[32]. In addition, its amplitude is given by*

$$a_Q(x,k) = \int e^{ik\psi_1(x,\zeta)}\left((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)a_1(x,\zeta,k) + \mathcal{C}(x)b_1(x,\zeta,k)\right)\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{2/3}\zeta_1)d\zeta, \tag{29}$$

with $\zeta = k\xi$, $a_1(x,\zeta,k) = k^n a(x,k\xi,k)$ and $b_1(x,\zeta,k) = k^n b(x,k\xi,k)$.

Proof. Using (20) and equation (15) we obtain

$$Q = (1 - \mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)J(E_3\mathbb{A}_i + E_4\mathbb{A}_i')K + \mathcal{C}(x)J(E_1\mathbb{A}_i + E_2\mathbb{A}_i')K, \tag{30}$$

where $E_1 \in \Psi^{-n/2+1/6}$, $E_2 \in \Psi^{-n/2-1/6}$, $E_3 \in \Psi^{-n/2+1/6+1}$, and $E_4 \in \Psi^{-n/2-1/6+1}$. The operators $\mathbb{A}_i$ and $\mathbb{A}_i'$ are Airy operators given by (21). Using Theorem 6.5 in [32], we can write

$$Q = (1 - \mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)J\mathbb{A}^{-1}P_1K + \mathcal{C}(x)J\mathbb{A}^{-1}P_2K, \tag{31}$$

with $P_1 \in \Psi^{-n/2-1/6+1}$ and $P_2 \in \Psi^{-n/2-1/6}$. To compute the oscillatory integral related to Q, consider $\varphi(x,t) \in S(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ and the Dirac delta function $\delta_{(x,t)} \in \mathcal{E}'(B \times \mathbb{R})$ used here to find the kernel of Q at the base point [32, 35], we have then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle Q\delta_0, \varphi(x,t)\rangle &= \langle((1 - \mathbf{n}\cdot\omega))J\mathbb{A}^{-1}P_1K\delta_0 + \mathcal{C}(x)J\mathbb{A}^{-1}P_2K\delta_0, \varphi(x,t)\rangle \\
&= \langle(1 - \mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)J\mathbb{A}^{-1}P_3\delta_0, \varphi(x,t)\rangle + \langle\mathcal{C}(x)J\mathbb{A}^{-1}P_4\delta_0, \varphi(x,t)\rangle \\
&= \langle(1 - \mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)JP_3\mathbb{A}^{-1}\delta_0, \varphi(x,t)\rangle + \langle\mathcal{C}(x)JP_4\mathbb{A}^{-1}\delta_0, \varphi(x,t)\rangle \\
&= \langle Q_1\delta_0, \varphi(x,t)\rangle + \langle Q_2\delta_{0,}, \varphi(x,t)\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q_1\delta_0 = (1 - \mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)JP_3\mathbb{A}^{-1}\delta_0, \quad Q_2\delta_0 = (1 - \mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)JP_4\mathbb{A}^{-1}\delta_0, \tag{32}$$

and

$$Ju(x,t) = \int e^{i\psi_1(x,\xi,k) - iy\xi - ikt_1}a_J(x,y,t_1\xi,k)u(y,t_1)dydt_1d\xi dk, \tag{33}$$

with $P_3 \in \Psi^{-n/2-1/6+1}$ and $P_4 \in \Psi^{-n/2-1/6}$ such that $P_3 = P_1K$ and $P_4 = P_2K$, and knowing that $\mathbb{A}^{-1}$ commute with P_3 and P_4 [32]. Here, $(y,t_1) \in \partial K \times \mathbb{R}$, (ξ,k) indicates the dual of (x,t), and the phase function ψ_1 is defined in the three regions of the obstacle [32]. In the illuminated region $\{x \in \partial K,\ \mathbf{n}(x)\cdot\omega < 0\}$, it is given by

$$\psi_1(x,\xi,k) = -\frac{|\xi'|^2}{2k} - \frac{|x'|^2}{2}k + \frac{3}{2}(-\xi_1k^{-1/3})^{3/2}, \tag{34}$$

while in the shadow region $\{x \in \partial K,\ \mathbf{n}(x)\cdot\omega > 0\}$, we have

$$\psi_1(x,\xi,k) = -\frac{|\xi|'^2}{2k} - \frac{|x'|^2}{2}k - \frac{3}{2}(-\xi_1k^{-1/3})^{3/2}. \tag{35}$$

Finally, on the shadow boundary $\{x \in \partial K,\ \mathbf{n}(x)\cdot\omega = 0\}$, the phase function is as follows

$$\psi_1(x,\xi,k) = -\frac{|\xi'|^2}{2k} - \frac{|x'|^2}{2}k \tag{36}$$

since $\xi_1 = 0$ [32]. Here, $x' = (x_2, ..., x_n)$, $\xi' = (\xi_2, ..., \xi_n)$ such that $x \in \partial K, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t, k \in \mathbb{R}$, and $a_J(x,t,\xi,k) \in S^0_{1,0}$. The pseudo-differential operator P_3 is defined by

$$P_3u(y,t_1) = \int e^{i(y-Y)\eta + i(t_1-T)\tau}p_3(y,t_1,Y,T,\eta,\tau)u(Y,T)dYdTd\eta d\tau, \tag{37}$$

where $(\eta,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is the dual couple of (y,t_1) and $(Y,T) \in \partial K \times \mathbb{R}$. Our objective is to compute $Q_1\delta_0 = JP_3\mathbb{A}^{-1}\delta_0$. First, using standard calculations on composition of operators [20, 37], we have

$$J \circ P_3u(x,t) = \int e^{i\psi_1(x,\xi,k) - iY\xi - iTk}p_{J\circ P_3}(x,Y,T,\xi,k)u(Y,T)dYdTd\xi dk, \tag{38}$$

with

$$p_{_{J\circ P_3}}(x,Y,T,\xi,k) = a(x,Y,T,\xi,k)$$
$$= \int e^{i(Y-y)(\xi-\eta)+i(T-t_1)(k-\tau)} a_J(x,y,t_1,\xi,k) p_3(y,t_1,Y,T,\eta,\tau) dy dt_1 d\eta d\tau, \quad (39)$$

thus

$$J\circ P_3 u(x,t) = \int e^{i\psi_1(x,\xi,k)} a(x,\xi,k)\widehat{u}(\xi,k) d\xi dk, \quad (40)$$

where $a(x,\xi,k) = p_3(x,\xi,k)a_J(x,\xi,k)$. To find $Q_1\delta_0$ we need to replace $u(x,t)$ by $\mathbb{A}^{-1}\delta_0$ in (40) where

$$\widehat{\mathbb{A}^{-1}\delta_{(0,t)}}(\xi,k) = \frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1)e^{-itk}. \quad (41)$$

We get

$$Q_1\delta_0 = (1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)J\circ P_3\circ \mathbb{A}^{-1}\delta_0$$
$$= \int e^{i\psi_1(x,\xi,k)}(1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)a(x,\xi,k)\widehat{\mathbb{A}^{-1}\delta_0}(\xi,k)d\xi dk$$
$$= \int e^{i\psi_1(x,\xi,k)-ikt}(1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)a(x,\xi,k)\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1)d\xi dk. \quad (42)$$

A similar approach for Q_2 gives

$$Q_2\delta_0 = \int e^{i\psi_1(x,\xi,k)-ikt}\mathcal{C}(x)b(x,\xi,k)\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1)d\xi dk. \quad (43)$$

The equation (31) becomes

$$Q\delta_0 = \int e^{i\psi_1(x,\xi,k)-ikt}\left[(1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)a(x,\xi,k)+\mathcal{C}(x)b(x,\xi,k)\right]\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1)dkd\xi, \quad (44)$$

where $b(x,\xi,k)\in S^{-n/2-1/6}_{1,0}$ and $a(x,\xi,k)\in S^{-n/2+5/6}_{1,0}$. This shows that the kernel κ_Q is as follows

$$\kappa_Q(x,t) = \int e^{i\psi_1(x,\xi,k)-ikt}\left[(1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)a(x,\xi,k)\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1)+\mathcal{C}(x)b(x,\xi,k)\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1)\right]d\xi dk. \quad (45)$$

Taking now the inverse Fourier transform of κ_Q, we obtain the following amplitude

$$a_Q(x,k) = \int e^{i\psi_1(x,\xi,k)}[(1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)a(x,\xi,k)\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1)+\mathcal{C}(x)b(x,\xi,k)\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1)]d\xi. \quad (46)$$

Applying the change of variable $\zeta = k\xi$ with $\zeta\in\mathbb{R}^n$, we find

$$a_Q(x,k) = \int e^{ik\psi_2(x,\zeta)}\left[(1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)a_1(x,\zeta,k)\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{2/3}\zeta_1)+\mathcal{C}(x)b_1(x,\zeta,k)\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{2/3}\zeta_1)\right]d\zeta, \quad (47)$$

such that $a_1(x,\zeta,k) = k^n a(x,k\zeta,k)$, $b_1(x,\zeta,k) = k^n b(x,k\zeta,k)$ and $\psi_2(x,\zeta) = \psi_1(x,\zeta,1) = -\frac{\xi'^2}{2} - \frac{x'^2}{2}$. ■

The remaining part of the computation of the asymptotic expression of a_Q (47) consists of applying the stationary phase method. First, we need the next lemma [32].

Lemma 5 *The function $\Psi\in S^1(\mathbb{R})$ defined as follows*

$$\Psi(\tau) = e^{-i\tau^3/3}\int \frac{1}{A_+(s)}e^{-is\tau}ds \quad (48)$$

is rapidly decreasing for $\tau\to-\infty$, where $A_+(s) = A_i(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}s)$.

Theorem 6 *The asymptotic expansion of the amplitude a_Q is given by*

$$a_Q(x,k) = \sum_{p,l=0}^{P,L} k^{2/3-p-2l/3} \left((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)a_{p,l}(\omega,x) + \mathcal{C}(x)b_{p,l}(\omega,x)\right) \psi^{(l)}(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x)) + R_{P,L}(k), \quad (49)$$

such that

$$|R_{P,L}(k)| \leq C_{PL}k^{-min(2L/3,P+1/3)}, \quad (50)$$

and where $p \in \{0,1..,P\}$, $l \in \{0,1..,L\}$, C_{PL} is a constant depending on L and P, ω is the incidence direction, and $Z(\omega,x)$ is a continuous real function that is positive on the illuminated region, negative on the shadow region, and vanishing on the shadow boundary. The functions $a_{p,l}$ and $b_{p,l}$ result from the expansion of the symbols a_1 and b_1 (see Theorem 4) and the application of the stationary phase method.

Proof. First, let us note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{2/3}\zeta_1) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\widehat{\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{2/3}\zeta_1)}\right) \\
&= \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\int e^{-ikt\zeta_1}\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{2/3}\zeta_1)d\zeta_1\right) \\
&= \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(e^{ik\frac{t^3}{3}}\Psi(k^{1/3}t)\right) \\
&= k^{1/3}\int e^{ikt\zeta_1+ik\frac{t^3}{3}}\Psi(k^{1/3}t)dt. \quad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (51) and (47), a_Q becomes

$$a_Q(x,k) = k^{1/3}\int e^{ik\psi_2(x,\zeta)+ikt\zeta_1+ik\frac{t^3}{3}}\left[(1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)a_1(x,\zeta,k)+\mathcal{C}(x)b_1(x,\zeta,k)\right]\Psi(k^{1/3}t)dtd\zeta, \quad (52)$$

where $a_1(x,\zeta,k) \in S^{n/2+7/6}$ and $b_1(x,\zeta,k) \in S^{n/2+1/6}$ are given in Theorem 4. Let us assume now that

$$(1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)a_1(x,\zeta,k)+\mathcal{C}(x)b_1(x,\zeta,k) = A_1(x,\zeta,k) \in S^{n/2+7/6}. \quad (53)$$

Using properties of pseudo-differential operators [37], we can write

$$A_1(x,\zeta,k) = \sum_{p=0}^{P} k^{n/2+7/6-p}A_p(x,\zeta), \quad (54)$$

with $P = n/2+7/6$, and

$$A_p(x,\zeta) = (1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)a_p(x,\zeta)+\mathcal{C}(x)b_p(x,\zeta). \quad (55)$$

This shows that the integral (52) can be rewritten as

$$k^{1/3}\sum_{p=0}^{P} k^{n/2+7/6-p}\int e^{ikf(\zeta,t)}A_p(x,\zeta)\Psi(k^{1/3}t)dtd\zeta, \quad (56)$$

such that

$$f(\zeta,t) = \psi_1(x,\zeta)+t\zeta_1+\frac{t^3}{3}, \quad (57)$$

see [32] for more details regarding the definition of f. To get the asymptotic expansion of a_Q, it remains to apply the stationary phase method to

$$\int e^{ikf(\zeta,t)} A_p(x,\zeta)\Psi(k^{1/3}t)dtd\zeta. \tag{58}$$

The conditions for this application are satisfied and the computation of the critical points is done in [32]. Using standard calculations regarding the stationary phase method [37, 36], we obtain

$$\int e^{ikf(\zeta,t)} A_p(x,\zeta)\Psi(k^{1/3}t)dtd\zeta = k^{-1/3}\sum_{l=0}^{L} k^{-2l/3-(n+1)/2} A_{p,l}(\omega,x)\Psi^{(l)}(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x)) + R_L(k), \tag{59}$$

where $A_{p,l}(\omega,x) = \partial_{\zeta_1}^l A_p(x,\zeta)|_{\zeta=0}$, and

$$|R_L(k)| \leq C_L k^{-n/2-2L/3-3/2}, \tag{60}$$

with C_L a constant. Using (59) in (56), we obtain

$$a_Q(x,k) = \sum_{p,l=0}^{P,L} k^{2/3-p-2l/3}\left((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)a_{p,l}(\omega,x) + \mathcal{C}(x)b_{p,l}(\omega,x)\right)\Psi^{(l)}(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x)) + R_{P,L}(k), \tag{61}$$

with

$$|R_{P,L}(k)| \leq C_{PL}k^{-min(2L/3,P+1/3)}, \tag{62}$$

and $l \in \{0,1..,L\}$ and P is a real number. ■

The next theorem establishes a relation between the functions $a_{p,l}$ and $b_{p,l}$ found in (49).

Theorem 7 *The functions $a_{p,l}$ and $b_{p,l}$ in (49), $p,l \geq 0$, satisfy the equation*

$$b_{p,l}(\omega,x) = -\frac{a_{p,l}(\omega,x)}{ik}. \tag{63}$$

Proof. From equation (15) and some operators used in Theorem 4 (F, J, P_4 and P_3), we have

$$Q = ((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)\partial_t F + \mathcal{C}(x)F, \quad Fu(x,t) = JP_4\mathbb{A}^{-1}u(x,t). \tag{64}$$

Similar calculations to the equation (43) give

$$Fu(x,t) = \int e^{i\psi_1(x,\xi,k)-ikt-ix\xi} b(x,\xi,k)\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1)\widehat{u}(\xi,k)d\xi dk, \tag{65}$$

with $b(x,\xi,k) = a_J(x,\xi,k)p_4(x,\xi,k) \in S^{-n/2-1/6}$, where $a_J(x,\xi,k)$ and $p_4(x,\xi,k)$ are given in Theorem 4. Knowing that $\partial_t Fu(x,t) = JP_3\mathbb{A}^{-1}u(x,t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t Fu(x,t) &= \partial_t \int e^{i\psi_1(x,\xi,k)-ikt-ix\xi} b(x,\xi,k)\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1)\widehat{u}(\xi,k)d\xi dk,\\ &= \int e^{i\psi_1(x,\xi,k)-ikt-ix\xi}(-ik)b(x,\xi,k)\frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1)\widehat{u}(\xi,k)d\xi dk,\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

implies that $a(x,\xi,k) = -ikb(x,\xi,k)$. We know that $b(x,\xi,k) \in S^{-n/2-1/6}$, and then usual pseudo-differential calculus results in $a(x,\xi,k) \in S^{-n/2+5/6}$. This allows us to conclude that $a_{p,l}(\omega,x) = -ikb_{p,l}(\omega,x)$. ■

3.1 Some estimates of the asymptotic expansion (49)

Two estimates are established in this subsection. For the completion of the paper, we recall the next lemma [32].

Lemma 8 *Let $\Psi \in S^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\Psi(\tau)$ is rapidly decreasing for $\tau \to -\infty$ and*

$$\Psi(\tau) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \tau^{1-3j} \quad \text{for } \tau \to +\infty. \tag{67}$$

The next result compares the asymptotic expansion (49) with $\partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^t$.

Proposition 9 *If a_Q is the amplitude given by (49), then*

$$|\eta(x,k) - \partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^t(x,k)| \leq Ck^{-1} \text{ for } k \to +\infty, \tag{68}$$

where C is a real constant and

$$\eta(x,k) = \sum_{p,l=0}^{P,L} k^{2/3-p-2l/3} \left((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)a_{p,l}(\omega,x) + \mathcal{C}(x)b_{p,l}(\omega,x)\right) \psi^{(l)}(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x))e^{ikx\cdot\omega}. \tag{69}$$

Proof. We know that

$$\partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^t(x,k) = -ik(1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)e^{ikx\omega} + \mathcal{C}(x)e^{ikx\cdot\omega}. \tag{70}$$

Using Theorem 7, the expansion (69) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(x,k) &\sim k^{2/3}\left((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega) - \frac{\mathcal{C}(x)}{ik}\right) a_{00}(\omega,x)\Psi(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x))e^{ikx\cdot\omega} \\
&\quad + \sum_{p,l=1}^{P,L} k^{2/3-p-2l/3}\left((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega) - \frac{\mathcal{C}(x)}{ik}\right) a_{pl}(\omega,x)\Psi^{(l)}(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x))e^{ikx\cdot\omega} \\
&= k^{2/3}\left((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega) - \frac{\mathcal{C}(x)}{ik}\right) a_{00}(\omega,x)\Psi(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x))e^{ikx\cdot\omega} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\beta,\alpha=0}^{P-1,L-1} k^{-1-\beta-2\alpha/3}\left((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega) - \frac{\mathcal{C}(x)}{ik}\right) a_{\beta+1,\alpha+1}(\omega,x)\Psi^{(\alpha+1)}(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x))e^{ikx\cdot\omega}.
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

From the preceding lemma, we have $\Psi(k^{1/3}Z(x,\omega)) \sim -ik^{1/3}Z(x,\omega)$ for $k \to +\infty$ and taking $a_{00}(x,\omega) = \frac{1}{Z(x,\omega)}$ [32], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(x,k) &\sim \left(-ik(1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega) + \mathcal{C}(x)\right)e^{ikx\cdot\omega} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\beta,\alpha=0}^{P-1,L-1} k^{-1-\beta-2\alpha/3}\left((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega) - \frac{\mathcal{C}(x)}{ik}\right) a_{\beta+1,\alpha+1}(\omega,x)\Psi^{(\alpha+1)}(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x))e^{ikx\cdot\omega}.
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

Knowing that $|\partial_\tau^{\alpha+1}\Psi(\tau)| \leq M_\alpha \tau^{-\alpha}$ for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega| \leq 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\eta(x,k) - \partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^t(x,k)\right| &\leq \sum_{\beta,\alpha=0}^{P-1,L-1} \left| k^{-1-\beta-2\alpha/3}\left((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega) - \frac{\mathcal{C}(x)}{ik}\right) a_{\beta+1,\alpha+1}(\omega,x)\Psi^{(\alpha+1)}(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x))\right| \\
&\leq \sum_{\beta,\alpha=0}^{P-1,L-1} Mk^{-1-\beta-\alpha}\left|2 + \frac{\mathsf{max}_{x\in B}\mathcal{C}(x)}{k}\right| \\
&\leq Ck^{-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

and C is a real constant. ■

The following result estimates (49) near the shadow boundary.

Proposition 10 *If a_Q is the amplitude given by* (49)*, then*

$$|a_Q(x,k)| \leq Mk^{2/3} \quad \text{for } k \to +\infty \tag{74}$$

where M is a real constant.

Proof. From the definition of $a_Q(x,k)$, it follows that

$$|a_Q(x,k)| \leq \sum_{p,l=0}^{P,L} k^{2/3-p-2l/3} \left| \left((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega) - \frac{\mathcal{C}(x)}{ik} \right) \right| \left| a_{p,l}(\omega,x)\Psi^{(l)}(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x)) \right|, \tag{75}$$

with $p \in \{0,1,...,P\}$ and $l \in \{0,1,...,L\}$. We know that in the shadow boundary $|1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega| \leq 1$. We assume that the curvature is constant, so $\mathcal{C}(x) = C$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_Q(x,k)| \leq{}& (k^{2/3} + Ck^{-1/3}) \left| a_{0,0}(\omega,x)\Psi(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x)) \right| \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha,\gamma=0}^{P-1,L-1} k^{-1-\alpha-2\gamma/3}(1+Ck^{-1}) \left| a_{\alpha+1,\gamma+1}(\omega,x)\Psi^{(\gamma+1)}(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x)) \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

The function Ψ and all its derivatives are bounded,

$$|a_{0,0}(\omega,x)\Psi(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x))| \leq M_1, \tag{77}$$

for all $\gamma \in N$, where M_1 is a real constant. Thus

$$|a_Q(x,k)| \leq Mk^{2/3} + \sum_{\alpha,\gamma=0}^{P-1,L-1} k^{-1-\alpha-2\gamma/3}(1+Ck^{-1})|a_{\alpha+1,\gamma+1}(\omega,x)|M_\gamma, \tag{78}$$

such that $M = (1+C)M_1$ and $|\Psi^{(\gamma+1)}(\tau)(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x))| \leq M_\gamma$. Taking $k \to +\infty$, we obtain (74) ■

4 Expansion of the amplitude using the second order approximation

We are now interested in computing the asymptotic expansion in the case where we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^s(x,k) &= -ikw^i(x,k) + \frac{c(x)}{2}w^i(x,k) - \frac{c(x)^2}{8(c(x)-ik)}w^i(x,k) - \frac{1}{2(c(x)-ik)}\partial_x^2 w^i(x,k) \\ &= -ikw^i(x,k) + \frac{c(x)}{2}w^i(x,k) - \frac{1}{2(c(x)^2+k^2)}(c(x)+ik)(\frac{c(x)^2}{4} + \partial_x^2)w^i(x,k). \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

As for the first order case, we compute first the kernel associated to the operator Q (7) in the case where the DtN is approximated by (79). For the sake of simplicity, we denote the operator (15) by Q_1.

Theorem 11 *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a strictly convex bounded obstacle such that $\partial K = B$, where B is a C^∞ hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Suppose that Ω is an open set of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}/K$. Let w^s be a solution of (5). Using the approximation (79), the operator Q can be written as*

$$Q = Q_1 - \frac{\pi}{2c(x)} T_{e^{-c(x)|t|}}(c(x) - \partial_t)\tilde{F}, \tag{80}$$

where $\kappa_Q(x,t)$ is its kernel given by

$$\kappa_Q(x,t) = ((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)+\frac{c(x)}{2})\kappa_F(x,t) - \frac{\pi}{2c(x)}e^{-c(x)|t|} * (c(x)-\partial_t)\,\kappa_{\tilde{F}}(x,t), \tag{81}$$

and $T_{e^{-c(x)|t|}}$ denotes the convolution operator of $e^{-c(x)|t|}$, $\tilde{F} = (\frac{c^2(x)}{4}+\partial_x^2)F$, and $\kappa_{\tilde{F}}(x,t) = (\frac{c^2(x)}{4}+\partial_x^2)\kappa_F(x,t)$ where $\kappa_F(x,t) = \delta(t-\omega\cdot x)$.

Proof. Using the approximation (79) and the definition of the total field, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\mathbf{n}} w^t(x,k) &= \left(-ik(1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)+\frac{c(x)}{2}\right)e^{ikx\cdot\omega} - \frac{1}{2(c(x)^2+k^2)}(c(x)+ik)(\frac{c(x)^2}{4}+\partial_x^2)e^{ikx\cdot\omega}\\ &= \mathcal{Q}_1(x,k)+\mathcal{Q}_2(x,k),\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

with

$$\mathcal{Q}_1(x,k) = \left(-ik(1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)+\frac{c(x)}{2}\right)e^{ikx\cdot\omega}, \tag{83}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_2(x,k) = \left(-\frac{1}{2(c(x)^2+k^2)}(c(x)+ik)(\frac{c(x)^2}{4}+\partial_x^2)\right)e^{ikx\cdot\omega}. \tag{84}$$

To obtain the kernel of the operator Q, we compute the Fourier transform of the amplitude $\partial_n w^t(x,k)$ with respect to k. Let $\varphi_x(k) = \varphi(x,k) \in S(\mathbb{R})$, thus

$$\left\langle \widehat{\partial_n w^t(x,k)}, \varphi\right\rangle_{S',S} = \left\langle \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_1(x,k)}, \varphi\right\rangle_{S',S} + \left\langle \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_2(x,k)}, \varphi\right\rangle_{S',S}. \tag{85}$$

The quantity $\left\langle \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_1(x,k)}, \varphi\right\rangle_{S',S}$ is given by (18). For the one regarding $\mathcal{Q}_2(x,k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_2(x,k)}, \varphi\right\rangle_{S',S} &= \langle \mathcal{Q}_2(x,k), \widehat{\varphi}\rangle_{S',S}\\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}}\left[\frac{1}{2(c(x)^2+k^2)}(c(x)+ik)(\frac{c(x)^2}{4}+\partial_x^2)e^{ikx\cdot\omega}\right]\varphi_x(t)e^{-ikt}dtdk\\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{2(c(x)^2+k^2)}e^{-ikt}dk * \int_{\mathbb{R}}(c(x)+ik)(\frac{c(x)^2}{4}+\partial_x^2)e^{ikx\cdot\omega}e^{-ikt}dk\right]\varphi_x(t)dt\\ &= -\frac{\pi}{2c(x)}\langle e^{-c(x)|t|} * (c(x)-\partial_t)\,(\frac{c(x)^2}{4}+\partial_x^2)\delta(t-\omega x), \varphi\rangle_{S',S}\\ &= \langle \kappa_{Q_2}(x,t), \varphi\rangle_{S',S}.\end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

Taking

$$\kappa_{\tilde{F}}(x,t) = (\frac{c(x)^2}{4}+\partial_x^2)\kappa_F(x,t), \quad \kappa_F(x,t) = \delta(t-\omega\cdot x), \tag{87}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_Q(x,t) &= ((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)+\frac{c(x)}{2})\kappa_F(x,t) - \frac{\pi}{2c(x)}e^{-c(x)|t|} * (c(x)-\partial_t)\,\kappa_{\tilde{F}}(x,t)\\ &= \kappa_{Q_1}(x,t)+\kappa_{Q_2}(x,t),\end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

where $\kappa_{Q_1}(x,t)$ indicates the kernel of Q_1. This allows us to write

$$Q = Q_1 - \frac{\pi}{2c(x)}T_{e^{-c(x)|t|}}(c(x)-\partial_t)\tilde{F}, \tag{89}$$

where $T_{e^{-c(x)|t|}}$ is the convolution operator of $e^{-c(x)|t|}$ and $\tilde{F} = (\frac{c^2(x)}{4} + \partial_x^2)F$. ■

The next theorem is concerned with the computation of the amplitude of the operator (80).

Theorem 12 *Let K and J be elliptic Fourier integral operators of order 0. Then the operator Q (80) and its kernel κ_Q can respectively be written as*

$$Q = Q_1 - \frac{\pi}{2c(x)} T_{e^{-c(x)|t|}}(c(x) J \mathbb{A}^{-1} P_1^{\#} K - J \mathbb{A}^{-1} P_2^{\#} K), \tag{90}$$

$$\kappa_Q(x,t) = \kappa_{Q_1}(x,t) + \kappa_{Q_2}(x,t), \tag{91}$$

where $\kappa_{Q_1}(x,t)$ is the kernel of Q_1, and κ_{Q_2} is defined by

$$\kappa_{Q_2}(x,t) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \int e^{-c(x)|t-r| + i\psi_1(x,\xi,k) - ikr} [b^{\#}(x,\xi,k) - \frac{1}{c(x)} a^{\#}(x,\xi,k)] \frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1) d\xi dk dr.$$

Here $P_1^{\#} \in \Psi^{-n/2-1/6}$, $P_2^{\#} \in \Psi^{-n/2+5/6}$, $a^{\#}(x,\xi,k) = (\frac{c^2(x)}{4} + \partial_x^2) a(x,\xi,k)$, and $b^{\#}(x,\xi,k) = (\frac{c^2(x)}{4} + \partial_x^2) b(x,\xi,k)$ where $a(x,\xi,k)$ and $b(x,\xi,k)$ are defined in Theorem 4. Furthermore, the amplitude a_Q is given by

$$a_Q(x,k) = a_{Q_1}(x,k) + a_{Q_2}(x,k), \tag{92}$$

where $a_{Q_1}(x,k)$ is the amplitude (29) and

$$a_{Q_2}(x,k) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{c(x)}{c^2(x) + k^2} \int e^{ik\psi_2(x,\zeta)} [b_1^{\#}(x,\zeta,k) - \frac{1}{c(x)} a_1^{\#}(x,\zeta,k)] \frac{1}{A_+}(k^{2/3}\zeta_1) d\zeta,$$

such that $a_1^{\#}(x,\zeta,k) = k^n a(x,k\zeta,k) \in S^{n/2+7/6}$, $b_1^{\#}(x,\zeta,k) = k^n b(x,k\zeta,k) \in S^{n/2+1/6}$, and $\psi_2(x,\zeta)$ is given in Theorem 4.

Proof. Using (20) and (80) we obtain

$$Q = Q_1 - \frac{\pi}{2c(x)} T_{e^{-c(x)|t|}}(c(x) J(\tilde{E}_1 \mathbb{A}_i + \tilde{E}_2 \mathbb{A}_i') K - J(\tilde{E}_3 \mathbb{A}_i + \tilde{E}_4 \mathbb{A}_i') K), \tag{93}$$

where $\tilde{E}_1 \in \Psi^{-n/2+1/6}$, $\tilde{E}_2 \in \Psi^{-n/2-1/6}$, $\tilde{E}_3 \in \Psi^{-n/2+1/6+1}$, and $\tilde{E}_4 \in \Psi^{-n/2-1/6+1}$. Using Theorem 6.5 in [32], we get

$$Q = Q_1 - \frac{\pi}{2c(x)} T_{e^{-c(x)|t|}}(c(x) J \mathbb{A}^{-1} P_1^{\#} K - J \mathbb{A}^{-1} P_2^{\#} K), \tag{94}$$

such that $P_1^{\#} \in \Psi^{-n/2-1/6}$ with symbol

$$p_1^{\#}(x,\xi,k) = (\frac{c^2(x)}{4} + \partial_x^2) p_2(x,\xi,k), \tag{95}$$

and $P_2^{\#} \in \Psi^{-n/2+5/6}$ with symbol

$$p_2^{\#}(x,\xi,k) = (\frac{c^2(x)}{4} + \partial_x^2) p_1(x,\xi,k), \tag{96}$$

where $p_1(x,\xi,k)$ and $p_2(x,\xi,k)$ are described in Theorem 4. As in the first order case, we use now the Dirac delta function $\delta(x,t) \in \mathcal{E}'(B \times \mathbb{R})$ to find the kernel of Q at the base point. Let $\varphi(x,t) \in S(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$, thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q\delta_0, \varphi \rangle_{S',S} &= \langle Q_1 \delta_0, \varphi \rangle_{S',S} - \langle \frac{\pi}{2c(x)} e^{-c(x)|t|} * [(c(x) J P_3^{\#} \mathbb{A}^{-1} - J P_4^{\#} \mathbb{A}^{-1}] \delta_0, \varphi \rangle_{S',S} \\ &= \langle Q_1 \delta_0, \varphi \rangle_{S',S} + \langle Q_2 \delta_0, \varphi \rangle_{S',S}, \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

with $P_3^{\#} = P_1^{\#}K$ and $P_4^{\#} = P_2^{\#}K$. The term $\langle Q_1\delta_0, \varphi\rangle_{S',S}$ is already computed (44). Therefore, we only need

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Q_2\delta_0, \varphi\rangle_{S',S} &= -\langle \frac{\pi}{2c(x)} e^{-c(x)|t|} * A\delta_0, \varphi\rangle_{S',S} \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{2c(x)} \int e^{-c(x)|t-r|} \langle A\delta_0, \varphi\rangle_{S',S} dr, \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

with

$$A = c(x) J P_3^{\#} \mathbb{A}^{-1} - J P_4^{\#} \mathbb{A}^{-1}. \tag{99}$$

On the other hand, we can write

$$\langle A\delta_0, \varphi\rangle_{S',S} = \int e^{i\psi_1(x,\xi,k) - ikt} [c(x) b^{\#}(x,\xi,k) - a^{\#}(x,\xi,k)] \frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1) d\xi dk, \tag{100}$$

where $b^{\#}(x,\xi,k) = p_3^{\#}(x,\xi,k) a_J(x,\xi,k)$ and $a^{\#}(x,\xi,k) = p_4^{\#}(x,\xi,k) a_J(x,\xi,k)$. This leads to

$$\langle Q_2\delta_0, \varphi\rangle_{S',S} = -\frac{\pi}{2c(x)} \int e^{-c(x)|t-r| + i\psi_1(x,\xi,k) - ikr} [c(x) b^{\#}(x,\xi,k) - a^{\#}(x,\xi,k)] \frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1) d\xi dk dr.$$

Finally, we find

$$Q\delta_0 = Q_1\delta_0 - \frac{\pi}{2} \int e^{-c(x)|t-r| + i\psi_1(x,\xi,k) - ikr} [b^{\#}(x,\xi,k) - \frac{1}{c(x)} a^{\#}(x,\xi,k)] \frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1) d\xi dk dr.$$

This shows that the kernel κ_Q is as follows

$$\kappa_Q(x,t) = \kappa_{Q_1}(x,t) + \kappa_{Q_2}(x,t), \tag{101}$$

and

$$\kappa_{Q_2}(x,t) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \int e^{-c(x)|t-r| + i\psi_1(x,\xi,k) - ikr} [b^{\#}(x,\xi,k) - \frac{1}{c(x)} a^{\#}(x,\xi,k)] \frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1) d\xi dk dr,$$

with $a^{\#}(x,\xi,k) = (\frac{c^2(x)}{4} + \partial_x^2) a(x,\xi,k)$ and $b^{\#}(x,\xi,k) = (\frac{c^2(x)}{4} + \partial_x^2) b(x,\xi,k)$. To obtain the amplitude a_Q, we take the inverse Fourier transform of κ_Q. The one related to κ_{Q_1} is given by (29). First, we can write that

$$\kappa_{Q_2}(x,t) = -\frac{\pi}{2} e^{-c(x)|t|} * \kappa_A(x,t), \tag{102}$$

where $\kappa_A(x,t)$ is the kernel of the operator (99). Using the inverse Fourier transform, we find

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\kappa_{Q_2})(x,k) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-c(x)|t|})(k) \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\kappa_A)(x,k). \tag{103}$$

Knowing that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-c(x)|t|}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{c(x)}{c^2(x)+k^2}$, we obtain

$$a_{Q_2}(x,k) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{c(x)}{c^2(x)+k^2} \int e^{i\psi_1(x,\xi,k)} [b^{\#}(x,\xi,k) - \frac{1}{c(x)} a^{\#}(x,\xi,k)] \frac{1}{A_+}(k^{-1/3}\xi_1) d\xi.$$

Applying the change of variable $\xi = k\zeta$ with $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we get

$$a_{Q_2}(x,k) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{c(x)}{c^2(x)+k^2} \int e^{ik\psi_2(x,\zeta)} [b_1^{\#}(x,\zeta,k) - \frac{1}{c(x)} a_1^{\#}(x,\zeta,k)] \frac{1}{A_+}(k^{2/3}\zeta_1) d\zeta, \tag{104}$$

such that $a_1^{\#}(x,\zeta,k) = k^n a^{\#}(x,k\zeta,k)$, $b_1^{\#}(x,\zeta,k) = k^n b^{\#}(x,k\zeta,k)$, and $\psi_2(x,\zeta)$ is described in Theorem 4. ■

The next theorem gives the asymptotic expansion of (92).

Theorem 13 *The asymptotic expression of the amplitude* (92) *is given by*

$$a_Q(x,k) = a_{Q_1}(x,k)$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{c(x)}{c^2(x)+k^2}\sum_{p,l=0}^{P,L} k^{2/3-p-2l/3}\left[b^{\#}_{p,l}(\omega,x)-\frac{1}{c(x)}a^{\#}_{p,l}(\omega,x)\right]\psi^{(l)}(k^{1/3}Z)e^{ikx\omega}+R_{P,L}(k), \quad (105)$$

where

$$a_{Q_1}(x,k)=\sum_{p,l=0}^{P,L} k^{2/3-p-2l/3}\left((1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)a_{p,l}(\omega,x)+\mathcal{C}(x)b_{p,l}(\omega,x)\right)\psi^{(l)}(k^{1/3}Z). \quad (106)$$

Here $a^{\#}_{p,l}(\omega,x)=(\frac{c^2(x)}{4}+\partial_x^2)a_{p,l}(\omega,x)$, $b^{\#}_{p,l}(\omega,x)=(\frac{c^2(x)}{4}+\partial_x^2)b_{p,l}(\omega,x)$, $p\in\{0,1..,P\}$, $l\in\{0,1..,L\}$, *ω is the incidence direction, and $c(x)>0$ is the curvature. In addition, $Z(\omega,x)$ is a continuous real function that is positive on the illuminated region, negative on the shadow region, and vanishing on the shadow boundary. The functions $a_{p,l}$, $b_{p,l}$, $a^{\#}_{p,l}$, and $b^{\#}_{p,l}$ result from the expansion of the symbol and the application of the stationary phase method.*

Proof. The derivation of (105) is based on the application of the stationary phase method to the amplitude $a_Q(x,k)=a_{Q_1}(x,k)+a_{Q_1}(x,k)$ where

$$a_{Q_1}(x,k)=k^{1/3}\int e^{ik\psi_2(x,\zeta)+ikt\zeta_1+ik\frac{t^3}{3}}\left[(1-\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)a_1(x,\zeta,k)+\mathcal{C}(x)b_1(x,\zeta,k)\right]\Psi(k^{1/3}t)dtd\zeta, \quad (107)$$

see (52), and

$$a_{Q_2}(x,k)=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{k^{1/3}c(x)}{c^2(x)+k^2}\int e^{ik\psi_2(x,\zeta)+ikt\zeta_1+ikt^3/3}[b^{\#}_1(x,\zeta,k)-\frac{1}{c(x)}a^{\#}_1(x,\zeta,k)]\Psi(k^{1/3}t)d\zeta dt, \quad (108)$$

obtained using (51) in (104). The critical points are the same as the ones given in the paper [32] and used when applying the stationary phase method to (107) to derive (49). Therefore, the latter method for the amplitude a_Q leads to the asymptotic expression

$$a_Q(x,k)=a_{Q_1}(x,k)-\frac{1}{2}\frac{c(x)}{c^2(x)+k^2}\sum_{p,l=0}^{P,L} k^{2/3-p-2l/3}\left[b^{\#}_{p,l}(\omega,x)-\frac{1}{c(x)}a^{\#}_{p,l}(\omega,x)\right]\psi^{(l)}(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x))e^{ikx\cdot\omega}$$
$$+R_{P,L}(k),$$

such that $a_{Q_1}(x,k)$ is (106) (first order expansion (49)), $a^{\#}_{p,l}(\omega,x)=(\frac{c^2(x)}{4}+\partial_x^2)a_{p,l}(\omega,x)$, and $b^{\#}_{p,l}(\omega,x)=(\frac{c^2(x)}{4}+\partial_x^2)b_{p,l}(\omega,x)$. The remainder $R_{P,L}$ satisfies

$$|R_{P,L}(k)|\leq C_{PL}k^{-min(2L/3,P+1/3)}, \quad (109)$$

and C_{PL} is a constant depending on P and L.

■

Remark 14 *As is mentioned in Remark 2, the second order condition* (79) *is derived in two dimensions. If the three dimensional absorbing boundary condition*

$$\partial_{\mathbf{n}}w^s(x,k)=-(ik-c(x))w^i(x,k)-\frac{c^2(x)(c(x)+ik)}{2(c(x)^2+k^2)}\partial_x^2w^i(x,k), \quad (110)$$

is used (see condition (29) in[27]), then we obtain

$$a_Q(x,k) \sim a_{Q_1}(x,k) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{c^2(x)}{c^2(x)+k^2}\sum_{p,l=0}^{P,L} k^{2/3-p-2l/3}\left[c(x)\widetilde{b}_{p,l}(\omega,x) - \widetilde{a}_{p,l}(\omega,x)\right]\psi^{(l)}(k^{1/3}Z(\omega,x)), \quad (111)$$

where $a_{Q_1}(x,k)$ *is given by* (106) *with* $\mathcal{C}(x) = c(x)$, $\widetilde{a}_{p,l}(\omega,x) = \partial_x^2 a_{p,l}(\omega,x)$, *and* $\widetilde{b}_{p,l}(\omega,x) = \partial_x^2 b_{p,l}(\omega,x)$.

5 Conclusion

In this paper we have derived some new expansions of the normal derivative of the total field solution of the Helmholtz equation. The original expansions are based on a pseudo-differential decomposition of the Dirichlet to Neumann operator. In this work, we have used approximations of this operator to derive new expansions. One of the goals is to facilitate construction of a new ansatz class that can be used in the development of numerical solvers that can produce more accurate solutions.

6 Acknowledgments

Y. Boubendir gratefully acknowledges support from NSF through grant No. DMS-1319720.

References

[1] D. Colton and R. Kress. *Integral Equation Methods in Scattering Theory*, 1987. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

[2] Chandler-Wilde, S.N., Graham, I.G., Langdon, S., Spence, E.A. *Numerical-asymptotic boundary integral methods in high-frequency acoustic scattering*, Acta Numerica **21** (2012), 89–305.

[3] Amini, S, and P. Harris, *A comparison between various boundary integral formulations of the exterior acoustic problem*, Computer Meth. Appl. Mech. Eng. 84, 1990, 59-75.

[4] Burton, A., Numerical solution of acoustic radiation problems, *NPL Contract Rept. OC5/S35 National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex*, (1976).

[5] Brackhage, H., and P. Werner, *Uber das Dirichletsche Aussenraumproblem fur die Helmholtsche Schwingungsgleichung*, Arch.Math, 16, 325-329, 1965.

[6] Antoine X. and Darbas M., *Generalized combined field integral equations for the iterative solution of the three-dimensional Helmholtz equation*, Mathematical modeling and numerical analysis, 41, 2007, pp. 147–167.

[7] Antoine, X., Darbas, M., *Alternative integral equations for the iterative solution of acoustic scattering problems*, Q. Jl. Mech. Appl. Math. 58 (2005), no. 1, 107-128

[8] Levadoux, D., *Etude d'une équation intégrale adaptaeé à la resolution haute-fréquance de l'equation D'Helmholtz, Thèse de doctorat de l'Université de Paris VI France*, 2001.

[9] X. Antoine, A. Bendali and M. Darbas. *Analytic Preconditioners for the Boundary Integral Solution of the Scattering of Acoustic Waves by Open Surfaces*, 2005, Journal of Computational Acoustics, volume 13(3), pages 477–498.

[10] Panich, I., *On the question of the solvability of the exterior boundary problem for the wave equation and Maxwell's equation*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 20, (1965), 221-226.

[11] Bruno, O., Elling, T., Turc, C., *Regularized integral equations and fast high-order solvers for sound-hard acoustic scattering problems*, submitted to Int. J. Eng. Math., 2011.

[12] Anand, A., Ovall, J., Turc, C., *Well-conditioned boundary integral equations for two-dimensional sound-hard scattering problems in domains with corners*, to appear in Journal Integral Equations and Applications, 2011.

[13] L. Greengard, H. Cheng, V. Rokhlin, *A Fast Adaptive Multipole Algorithm in Three Dimensions*. J. Comput. Phys. 155, 468 (1999)

[14] V. Rokhlin. *Rapid solution of integral equations of scattering theory in two dimensions,* 1990; J. Comput. Phys, 6(2):414-439.

[15] Tong, M.S., Chew, W.C.: Multilevel fast multipole acceleration in the Nyström discretization of surface electromagnetic integral equations for composite objects, IEEE Trans. Antennas and Propagation **58** (2010), no. 10, 3411–3416.

[16] Y. Boubendir, V. Dominguez, D. Levadoux, and C. Turc. *Regularized combined field integral equations for acoustic transmission problems*. SIAM J. Appl. Math., 75(3), 929?952, 2015.

[17] F. Ecevit and H. H. Eruslu. *Efficient Galerkin schemes for high-frequency scattering problems based on frequency dependent changes of variables*. ArXiv e-prints, September 2016.

[18] Y. Boubendir, C. Turc. *Wavenumbers estimates for regularized combined field boundary integral operators in acoustic scattering problems with Neumann boundary conditions,* IMA Numerical Analysis, Volume 33 Issue 4 October 2013.

[19] Y. Boubendir, and C. Turc. *Well-conditioned boundary integral formulations for the solution of high-frequency electromagnetic scattering problems*. CAMWA, V. 67, No. 10 Pg. 1772-1805, 2014.

[20] L. Hörmander, *Fourier integral operators*, I, Acta Math, 127, 79-183, 1971.

[21] O. Bruno, C. Geuzaine, F. Reitich, *On the O(1)solution of multiple-scattering problems*. IEEETrans. Magn. 41 (2005), 1488-1491.

[22] Hörmander, L. *Pseudo-differential operators and hypoelliptic equations. Singular integrals*. (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. X, Chicago, Ill., 1966), 138183; Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. (1967).

[23] Dominguez, V., Graham, I., and V. Smyshlyaev, *A hybrid numerical-asymptotic boundary integral method for high-frequency acoustic scattering*. Numerische Mathematik, 106, 471-510, 2007.

[24] F. Ecevit and F. Reitich, *Analysis of multiple scattering iterations for high-frequency scattering problems. I: The two-dimensional case*. Numerische Mathematik 114:271-354, 2009.

[25] A. Anand, Y. Boubendir, F. Ecevit and F. Reitich, *Analysis of multiple scattering iterations for high-frequency scattering problems. II: The three-dimensional scalar case*. Numerische Mathematik, 114:373-427, 2010.

[26] O. P. Bruno, C. Geuzaine, J-A. Monro and F. Reitich, *Prescribed error tolerance within fixed computational times for scattering problems of arbitrarily high frequency: the convex case*. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London 362 (2004), 629-645.

[27] M. Medvinsky, E. Turkel, U. Hetmaniuk. *Local absorbing boundary conditions for elliptical shaped boundaries*. Journal of Computational Physics 227, 8254-8267, 2008.

[28] Huybrechs, D., Vandewalle, S.: A sparse discretization for integral equation formulations of high frequency scattering problems, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. **29** (2007), no. 6, pp. 2305–2328.

[29] Giladi, E.: An asymptotically derived boundary element method for the Helmholtz equation in high frequencies, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **198** (2007), 52–74.

[30] Abboud, T., Nédélec, J.-C., Zhou, B. *Méthode des équations intégrales pour les hautes fréquences* , C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **318** (1994), 165–170.

[31] Abboud, T., Nédélec, J.-C., Zhou, B. *Improvement of the integral equation method for high frequency problems*, in Mathematical and Numerical Aspects of Wave Propagation: Mandelieu-La Napoule, SIAM, (1995), 178–187.

[32] R. Melrose, B. Taylor, *Near peak scattering and the corrected Kirchhoff approximation for a convex obstacle*. Adv. in Math. 55 (1985), 242-315.

[33] Ecevit, F. & Ozen, H.C. *Frequency-adapted galerkin boundary element methods for convex scattering problems*. Numer. Math. doi:10.1007/s00211-016-0800-7, 2016.

[34] A. Bayliss, M. Gunzburger, and E. Turkel. *boundary conditions for the numerical solutions for elliptic equations in exterior regions*. SIAM J. Appl. Math, 42 :430451, 1982.

[35] R. Melrose and M. Taylor, *Boundary Problems for Wave Equations With Grazing and Gliding Rays*. Monograph, in preparation.

[36] Claude Zuily .:Eléments de distributions et d'équations aux dérivèes partielles. - Cours et problémes résolus, Dunod. 2002.

[37] André Martinez, *An Introduction to Semiclassical and Microlocal Analysis*, springer, 2000.

[38] O.P. Bruno, V. Domnguez, F.J. Sayas, *Convergence analysis of a high-order Nystrm integral-equation method for surface scattering problems*, Numer. Math. (2013) 124: 603.

[39] M. Taylor. *Pseudodifferential Operators*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1981.

[40] C. H. Wilcox. *Scattering theory for the dAlembert equation in exterior domains*, volume 442. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1975.

[41] J. Chazarain and A. Piriou. *Introduction to the theory of linear partial differential equations*. North-Holland, 1982.